**Ph.D. Thesis Proposal Exam**

**Materials Science and Engineering, University of Maryland**

Candidate Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Title of Proposal:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation/Guidance** Each committee member check an box under the evaluation metric that they feel best represents the candidate’s performance | **Does Not Meet Expectations** | **Meets Expectations** | **Exemplary Performance** |
|  Thesis committee member⇒ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. Does the proposal lead to advancement of the field? |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. Is the proposal scientifically sound and well founded? |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. Was the problem and solution logical and well presented?  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

***apopper***
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--------------------------------------------

PURDUE Engineering Dissertation Defense

https://engineering.purdue.edu/NE/Academics/Graduate/Rubric/EVALUATION%20RUBRIC\_PhD\_Dissertation\_Defense\_NE.pdf

**Overall Assessment:** The assessment of the overall performance of the candidate based on the evidence provided in items above.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CRITERIA** | **PERFORMANCE RATINGS** |
| **Does NOT PASS** **Proposal Defense Exam** | **Passes Proposal Defense Exam** |
| **Rating of the****Proposal:** | **Does not meet** **Expectations** | **Meets Expectations** | **Exemplary** **Performance** |
|  |  |  |

**Thesis Committee Members**

Name: Signature: Date:

(Advisor)

Name: Signature: Date:

(Co-Advisor if applicable)

Name: Signature: Date:

(Deans Representative)

Name: Signature: Date:

Name: Signature: Date:

Name: Signature: Date:

Additional Comments: